THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI H. K. L. BHAGAT): (a) to (c) All AIR stations which originate Urdu programmes also broadcast Urdu talks from time to time. Information in respect of Urdu broadcast of talks by these stations is not being kept centrally in a compiled form.

Plots allotted under the self-financing scheme

2228. DR. MOHD. HASHIM KIDWAI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of flats constructed by the DDA under the self-financing scheme which were allotted during the last year;

(b) what are the dates of registration of these flats;

(c) what is the number of flats constructed under the above mentioned scheme which have not yet been allotted;

(d) what are the reasons for delay in the allotment of these flats; and

(e) by when these flats would be allotted?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) 6560 flats were allocated and 3957 were actually allotted during 1987-88.

(b) The date of registration for various schemes is given below:—

S. No.	Name of the Scheme	Date of Registration
1.	Scheme-I	15-9-77 to 30-4-78
2.	Scheme-II	27-11-78 to 31-1-79
3.	Scheme-III	12-11-79 to 30-11-79
4.	Shcme-IV	7-1-81 to 7-3- 81
5.	Scheme-V	15-5-82 to 14-8-82
6.	Spl. Retired/ retiring under S.F.S.	8-1-81 to 7-3-81 22-5-83 to 22-7-83
7.	Scheme-VI	25-5-85 to 14-8-85

(c) 23562 registrants under Schemes mentioned at S.No. 5 to 7 above could not be allocated the flats.

(d) and (e) After registration, the registrants have to apply for allocation of flats for which the allocation applications are invited under the Self-Financing Schemes. The allocation of flats is done keeping in view the preference of localities given by the registrants and the number of flats available in that locality. The allocation is done through computer. Many a time the allocation is not given to a registrant, as they are choosy about a particular locality and in some popular localities, the number of flats available are not sufficient to meet the requirements of all the registrants who have given their options for that locality. If the number of flats available in a particular locality is not sufficient for allotment to all the registrants who have opted for allotment in that locality the question of allotting the flats to those who could not be allocated the same through Computer does not arise in that locality. Efforts are made to allot flats to such persons in the localities of their next choice.

HUDCO Loans to State Housing Boards and Development Authorities

2229. DR. MOHD. HASHIM KIDWAI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the total amount of loans granted to State Housing Boards and Development Authorities by the HUDCO during the last financial year, statewise; and

(b) what are the corresponding figures of such loans granted to State Housing Boards and Development Authorities by the HUDCO during the financial years 1985-86 and 1986-87; State wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) and (b) During the last financial year (1987-88), HUDCO had sanctioned a total amount of Rs. 496.73 crores as loans to State Housing Boards, Development Authorities, etc. for various housing schemes. The corresponding figures for the financial years 1985-86 and 1986-87 were Rs. 387.42 crores and Rs. 392.03 crores respectively The state-wise details of these loans are indicated in the Statement attached.

Statement

Loan sanctions by HUDCO

(in Rs. Crores)

Sl.No.	State/UTs	1985-86	1986-87	1987-88 (as on 31-3-1988)
1	2	3	4	5
1	Andhra Pradesh	47.63	40.3	42.61
2	Assam	0.37	3.78	1.84
3	Bihar	12.18	3.96	18.32
4	Gujarat	27.05	44.8	38.59
5	Haryana	6.91	9.65	4.57
6	Himachal Pradesh	0.44	2.59	1.67
7	Jammu & Kashmir	4.92	4.2	4.89
8	Karnataka	25.49	29.82	42.94
9	Kerala	41.4	37.56	44.57
10	Madhya Pradesh	24.94	22.49	36.33
11	Maharashtra	38.89	22.62	35.14
12	Manipur	..	..	..
13	Meghalaya	..	0.82	..
14	Nagaland	..	1.77	2.49
15	Orissa	15.51	16.6	15.11
16	Punjab	9.45	10.41	11.33
17	Rajasthan	24.47	16.76	30.89
18	Sikkim	..	..	..
19	Tamil Nadu	28.04	47.93	45.37
20	Tripura	0.21	..	0.57
21	Uttar Pradesh	65.33	51.8	95.00
22	West Bengal	8.43	9.99	9.8
23	A & N Islands	..	0.24	0.89

1	2	3	4	5
24	Arunachal Pradesh	..	1.98	..
25	Chandigarh	2.43	5.45	8.58
26	Dadar, Nagar & Haveli	..	..	..
27	Delhi	2.08	..	3.23
28	Goa, Daman & Diu	0.41	1	0.38
29	Lakshadweep	..	..	..
30	Mizoram	..	..	..
31	Pondicherry	0.84	5.51	1.62
	TOTAL : .	387.42	392.03	496.73

Price rise resistance movement

2230. DR. MOHD. HASHIM KIDWAI: Will the Minister of FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES be pleased to state:

(a) whether any survey has been conducted by Government of the progress of price rise resistance movement in the country during the last three years;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) what steps have been taken by Government to encourage the movement?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES (SHRI D.L. BAITHA): (a) The Central Govt. has not conducted any survey regarding price rise resistance movement in the country.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Government accords high priority to programme of consumer protection. The policy of the Government is to promote broad based responsible and responsive consumer movement throughout the country. Various measures taken by the Government for this purpose include holding of national, regional and state level seminars; use of TV/AIR and other media to create consumers' awareness; publishing of brochure/literature on consumers protection; coordination with States/UTs on the activities of consumer protection, etc. Rights have also been conferred on the consumers and consumer organisations to file complaints in the court under the existing laws having bearing on consumers, such as Essential Commodities Act, 1955, Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, Standards of weights & Measures Act etc. In addition, the Central Government has enacted a comprehensive legislation, namely, the the Consumer Protection Act, 1986 which applies to all goods and services unless specifically exempted by the Central Government.

Promotion of Rajasthan Tourism Abroad

2231. SHRI DHULESHWAR MEENA: Will the Minister of TOURISM be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that there has been decline in the number of tourist traffic to Rajasthan during the last six months as compared to the same period last year;

(b) if so, what are the comparative figures in this regard;